



## Quality of life at home and satisfaction of patients after enhanced recovery protocol for colorectal surgery\*

Aurélien Partoune, Carla Coimbra, Jean-François Brichant & Jean Joris

To cite this article: Aurélien Partoune, Carla Coimbra, Jean-François Brichant & Jean Joris (2017): Quality of life at home and satisfaction of patients after enhanced recovery protocol for colorectal surgery\*, Acta Chirurgica Belgica, DOI: [10.1080/00015458.2017.1279871](https://doi.org/10.1080/00015458.2017.1279871)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00015458.2017.1279871>



Published online: 20 Jan 2017.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

ORIGINAL PAPER

## Quality of life at home and satisfaction of patients after enhanced recovery protocol for colorectal surgery\*

Aurélien Partoune<sup>a</sup>, Carla Coimbra<sup>b,c</sup>, Jean-François Brichant<sup>a</sup> and Jean Joris<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of anaesthesia reanimation, CHU Liège, domaine du Sart Tilman, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium; <sup>b</sup>Service of digestive surgery, CHU Liège, domaine du Sart Tilman, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium; <sup>c</sup>GRACE (Groupe francophone de Réhabilitation Après Chirurgie), Baumont, France

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Quality of life of patients at home after an enhanced recovery protocol (ERP) for surgery has been least studied especially in elderly patients.

**Methods:** Our first 41 patients entered in the colorectal GRACE database were interviewed through telephone about their postoperative stress, fatigue, pain, difficulty in feeding, home autonomy, and satisfaction. We compared the responses of the elderly patients (>70 years,  $n = 19$ ) with those of the younger patients.

**Results:** The time between the surgery and the questionnaire was  $79 \pm 48$  days. Early return was experienced as stressful by  $\pm 20\%$  of the patients. Fatigue and pain were low (respectively: simple numerical scale [SNS] =  $4.2 \pm 3.2$  and  $2.5 \pm 2.9$ ). When present, pain was relieved by the prescribed treatment. One-third of the patients described some difficulty in feeding. Fifty percent of the patients felt completely autonomous when returned at home, 80% attributed the rapid recovery of autonomy to the ERP. Finally, 87% were globally satisfied (SNS:  $8.5 \pm 1.0$ ). The characteristics of the 'elderly' group ( $77 \pm 6$  years) and their questionnaire responses were similar to those of the younger patients.

**Conclusions:** Despite some limitations (retrospective, different time between surgery and the telephone survey), our study suggests that quality of life at home after ERP for colorectal surgery is very satisfactory for over 80% of patients. Furthermore, this study confirms that elderly patients benefit from an ERP for colorectal surgery like younger patients.

**Abbreviations:** ERP: Enhanced recovery protocol; GRACE: Groupe francophone de Réhabilitation Améliorée après Chirurgie (in English: Francophone Group for Enhanced Rehabilitation after Surgery); SNS: Simple numerical scale

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 22 December 2016  
Accepted 5 January 2017

### KEYWORDS

Enhanced rehabilitation;  
postoperative quality of life;  
satisfaction; elderly

## Introduction

Enhanced recovery protocol (ERP) after surgery was first described by Henrik Kehlet in 1997 [1] as 'fast-track surgery'. Indeed, ERP after colorectal surgery allows to significantly reduce the length of hospital stay [2–5]. The economic benefits are obvious [6]. However, the initial concept of 'fast' rehabilitation has since evolved into the concept of 'enhanced' recovery, the main motivation residing more in accelerating postoperative recovery of the patients included in these protocols than in reducing the duration of hospitalization [7,8]. More importantly, ERP helps reduce by 40% the incidence of general postoperative complications without negative impact on the risk of surgical complications [9,10]. Despite all this data, implementation of ERP for colorectal surgery still encounters obstacles [11,12].

The willingness to shorten the hospital stay at any cost can raise questions about the quality of life of the patient after a fast return at home, especially of the elderly patients [13]. Indeed, few studies have investigated the comfort and the quality of life of patients after an enhanced recovery protocol [14]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the feelings and satisfaction of patients when at home after an ERP for colorectal surgery. A particular attention was devoted to elderly patients, in whom the efficiency and safety of ERP were questionable [15].

## Materials and methods

This retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of Liège, Belgium (Chairman: Prof. V. Seutin, ref 2016/189) (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02824783).

**CONTACT** Jean Joris ✉ jean.joris@chu.ulg.ac.be 📧 Department of anaesthesia reanimation, CHU de Liège, domaine du Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

\*Presented at the 2nd symposium of GRACE in Paris on 29 April 2016.

© 2017 The Royal Belgian Society for Surgery

We interviewed through telephone our first 41 patients included in the GRACE database (Francophone Group for Enhanced Rehabilitation after Surgery; 9 allée de Riboulet, 63110 Beaumont, France; www.grace-asso.fr) after they returned at home after an ERP for colorectal surgery. Patients were operated between the 1st of October 2015 and the 29th of February 2016. The questionnaire (Appendix 1) evaluates eight different domains: information, stress, feeling at the time of hospital discharge, fatigue, pain, feeding difficulties, autonomy, and satisfaction at home.

The responses to this survey by elderly patients aged over 70 years were compared with those of the younger patients to determine whether older patients experienced this postoperative period differently. Data represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviations or medians. Comparisons between elderly and younger patients used the Students *t*-test or chi-square.  $p \leq .05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Results

The time between the surgery and the questionnaire was  $79 \pm 48$  days. One patient died between returning home and the telephone call. Demographic, surgical characteristics, and the rate of complications [16] of patients are summarized in Table 1. Except for age, there was no significant difference between the elderly and younger patients.

### Patient information

While 82% of patients actually received oral and written information about the ERP using documents provided by GRACE, only 60% said being informed. Half of the patients would have liked more information.

### Stress secondary to ERP

All patients under 70 years and 88% of the elderly were not anxious to participate to a ERP.

The announcement of the hospital discharge was experienced as stressful by 24% of patients (18% of elderly, 28% of the younger; [ $p = .4$ ]). Once at home, the stress disappeared in elderly, but persisted in more patients of the other group (6% vs. 24%;  $p = .20$ ).

### Feeling when leaving the hospital

At the time of hospital discharge, one-third of the patients, regardless of their age, were surprised to be allowed to leave the hospital and did not feel fit to go home. Once at home, only one patient regretted this early return.

### Fatigue

Fatigue was assessed on a simple numeric scale (SNS) from 0 to 10 at  $4.2 \pm 3.2$  (median = 5) in the total population and  $4.3 \pm 3.5$  (median = 5) in elderly. One patient considered that a longer hospitalization would have reduced postoperative fatigue.

### Pain

In patients under 70 years, the intensity of pain on a 0–10 SNS was  $2.5 \pm 2.9$  (median = 2). It was significantly lower in elderly:  $1.6 \pm 2.8$  (median = 0;  $p = .047$ ). In case of pain, 80–85% of patients reported satisfactory relief with the oral treatment prescribed. Three patients in the younger group said that a longer hospitalization would have allowed a better control of their pain.

### Resumption of feeding

Eighty-one percent of the patients in both groups were satisfied by the quick postoperative resumption of diet. One-third of patients of both groups described some difficulty in eating normally (lack of appetite, dysgeusia, feeling of fullness) at home. Only 20% of these considered that a more gradual resumption of diet would have prevented these discomforts.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the population.

	Population	<70 years old	$\geq 70$ years old
Age	$65.7 \pm 13.7$	$56.2 \pm 11.1$	$76.7 \pm 5.8$
Sex (M/F)	23/18	11/11	12/7
BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ )	$26.0 \pm 5.6$	$23.4 \pm 4.4$	$28.0 \pm 6.0$
Scopy/Tomy (n)	22/19 (59%)	15/7 (68%)	10/9 (53%)
Right/left/total colectomy/proctectomy	8/26/2/5	1/16/2/3	7/10/0/2
Stoma (Y/N)	6/35 (15%)	5/17 (22%)	1/18 (6%)
Length of stay (days)	$5.4 \pm 4.9$ [4]	$5.0 \pm 2.9$ [5]	$6.0 \pm 6.0$ [3.5]
Complications (Y/N)	13/28 (32%)	5/17 (22%)	8/11 (42%)
Redo surgery (Y/N)	3/38 (7%)	2/20 (10%)	1/18 (5%)

## Autonomy

Half of the patients, whatever the group, claimed full recovery of their autonomy. Eighty-nine percent were satisfied with their autonomy at home. Seventy-nine percent attributed their fast recovery to the ERP.

## Satisfaction

Eighty-seven percent of patients in both groups were satisfied with their care. Satisfaction assessed on a 0–10 SNS was  $8.5 \pm 1.0$  (median 8.75) in the younger group, and  $8.4 \pm 0.9$  (median = 9) in the elderly. The satisfaction reported is independent on the time elapsed between surgery and the questionnaire ( $r^2 < .0001$ ,  $p = .96$ ). Only two patients blamed the fast track for their postoperative complications.

## Discussion

This survey suggests that once at home, the patients who underwent an ERP for colorectal surgery reported a satisfactory quality of life and comfort. Specifically, postoperative pain and fatigue were low, and global satisfaction about postoperative management was very high. Overall, the responses of the elderly were similar to patients under 70 years. Age should not therefore be a criterion to exclude patient from the ERP or to delay hospital discharge of elderly included in a ERP for colorectal surgery.

Our results are consistent with other studies that described short-term faster and improved postoperative convalescence at home in case of ERP [17,18]. Preoperative quality of life would be restored after one week [19]. ERP also improves patient satisfaction [20].

While 82% of patients actually received oral and written information, one-quarter of them yet reported not having received any information. Given the importance of patient information for the success of ERP [21,22], this observation should encourage the repetition of information throughout the hospital stay. Although only half of patients reported having recovered full autonomy, 90% were nevertheless satisfied with their autonomy. One-third of patients reported difficulty in eating at home. Gillis et al. also described food intake lower than the needs as well as inadequate postoperative protein intake in one third of patients [23].

Finally, the results of this survey in the elderly confirm that they benefit from ERP like younger

patients. Hospital stay and complication rates were reduced by ERP as in non-elderly patients [24]. The quality of life at home was considered satisfactory by the elderly. They complained of less pain than younger patients.

Our survey has some limitations. This is a retrospective study. The time between the hospital discharge and the day of the survey was variable; there is however no effect of this time period and the reported satisfaction. Using telephone rather than postal questionnaire could have influenced patient responses. Finally, our results deserve to be confirmed by a study including more patients.

## Conclusions

This survey demonstrates that early hospital discharge of patients undergoing colorectal surgery with ERP does not occur at the expense of the quality of life at home. Instead, these patients describe a high feeling of satisfaction. Finally, elderly patients, theoretically more vulnerable, report the same feelings as younger patients.

## Disclosure statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

## References

- [1] Kehlet H. Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation. *Br J Anaesth.* 1997;78:606–617.
- [2] Adamina M, Kehlet H, Fau - Tomlinson GA, et al. Enhanced recovery pathways optimize health outcomes and resource utilization: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in colorectal surgery. *Surgery.* 2011;149:830–840.
- [3] Spanjersberg WR, Reurings J, Fau - Keus F, et al. Fast track surgery versus conventional recovery strategies for colorectal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;(2):CD007635. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007635
- [4] Varadhan KK, Neal K, Fau - Dejong CHC, et al. The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Nutr.* 2010;29:434–440.
- [5] Zhuang CL, Ye Xz Fau - Zhang X-D, Zhang Xd Fau - Chen B-C, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery programs versus traditional care for colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2013;56:667–678.
- [6] Faujour V, Slim K, Corond P. The future, in France, of enhanced recovery after surgery seen from the economical perspective. *Prat Anesth Réanim.* 2015; 19:153–157.

- [7] Fawcett Wj Fau - Mythen MG, Mythen Mg Fau - Scott MJP, Scott MJ. Enhanced recovery: more than just reducing length of stay? *Br J Anaesth.* 2012;109:671–674.
- [8] Slim K, Amalberti R. Ambulatory colectomy: no innovation without evaluation. *J Visc Surg.* 2015; 152:1–3.
- [9] Greco M, Capretti G, Fau - Beretta L, et al. Enhanced recovery program in colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World J Surg.* 2014;38:1531–1541.
- [10] Nicholson A, Lowe Mc Fau - Parker J, Parker J, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of enhanced recovery programmes in surgical patients. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101:172–188.
- [11] Kahokehr A, Sammour T, Fau - Zargar-Shoshtari K, et al. Implementation of ERAS and how to overcome the barriers. *Int J Surg.* 2009;7:16–19.
- [12] Maessen J, Dejong Ch Fau - Hausel J, Hausel J, et al. A protocol is not enough to implement an enhanced recovery programme for colorectal resection. *Br J Surg.* 2007;94:224–231.
- [13] Nadler A, Pearsall EA, Victor JC, et al. Understanding surgical residents' postoperative practices and barriers and enablers to the implementation of an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) Guideline. *J Surg Educ.* 2014;71:632–638.
- [14] Khan S, Wilson T, Fau - Ahmed J, et al. Quality of life and patient satisfaction with enhanced recovery protocols. *Colorectal Dis.* 2010;12:1175–1182.
- [15] Scharfenberg M, Raue W, Fau - Junghans T, et al. "Fast-track" rehabilitation after colonic surgery in elderly patients-is it feasible? *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2007;22:1469–1474.
- [16] Daniel Dindo M, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg.* 2004;240:205–213.
- [17] Khan SA, Ullah S, Fau - Ahmed J, et al. Influence of enhanced recovery after surgery pathways and laparoscopic surgery on health-related quality of life. *Colorectal Dis.* 2013;15:900.
- [18] Wang H, Zhu D, Liang L, et al. Short-term quality of life in patients undergoing colonic surgery using enhanced recovery after surgery program versus conventional perioperative management. *Qual Life Res.* 2015;24:1663–1670.
- [19] Shida D, Wakamatsu K, Tanaka Y, et al. The postoperative patient-reported quality of recovery in colorectal cancer patients under enhanced recovery after surgery using QoR-40. *BMC Cancer.* 2015;15: 799–804.
- [20] Thiele RH, Rea KM, Turrentine FE, et al. Standardization of care: impact of an enhanced recovery protocol on length of stay, complications, and direct costs after colorectal surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2015;220:430–443.
- [21] Alfonsi P, Slim K, Chauvin M, et al. French guidelines for enhanced recovery after elective colorectal surgery. *J Visc Surg.* 2014;151:65–79.
- [22] Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Multimodal strategies to improve surgical outcome. *Am J Surg.* 2002; 183:630–641.
- [23] Gillis C, Nguyen TH, Liberman AS, et al. Nutrition adequacy in enhanced recovery after surgery: a single academic center experience. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2015;30:414–419.
- [24] Bagnall NM, Malietzis G, Fau - Kennedy RH, et al. A systematic review of enhanced recovery care after colorectal surgery in elderly patients. *Colorectal Dis.* 2014;16:947–956.

## Appendix 1. Survey of patient satisfaction after colorectal surgery with an enhanced recovery protocol (ERP).

---

### Survey of patient satisfaction after colorectal surgery with an enhanced recovery protocol (ERP)

Dear,

You recently were operated on a colorectal surgery with an enhanced recovery program. With regards this program, we would like to assess your satisfaction. Would you mind to answer these questions?

#### Information

- |   |     |    |     |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| 1) Were you aware of participating to an enhanced recovery protocol?            | Yes | No | N/A |
| 2) Were you aware of the benefits of this enhanced recovery protocol?           | Yes | No | N/A |
| 3) Did you receive enough information about this program from the medical team? | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Stress

- |  |     |    |     |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| 4) Did you feel anxious about your participation to an enhanced recovery protocol? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 5) Were you stressed when you were discharged from the hospital?                   | Yes | No | N/A |
| 6) Were you stressed when back at home after hospital discharge?                   | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Feeling at the time of hospital discharge

- |  |     |    |     |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| 7) Were you surprised when you were allowed to return home?    | Yes | No | N/A |
| 8) Did you feel capable to go back home?                       | Yes | No | N/A |
| 9) When at home, did you regret your early hospital discharge? | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Fatigue

- 10) What was the intensity of your fatigue at home?

**SNS 0–10**  
0 = no fatigue  
10 = the worst possible fatigue

- |  |     |    |     |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| 11) Do you think your fatigue would have been less if you had stayed longer at the hospital? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 12) Do you think that our global management allowed to reduce your postoperative fatigue?    | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Pain

- 13) Did you feel pain once back at home? If yes, what was the intensity of your pain?

**SNS 0–10**  
0 = no pain  
10 = worst possible pain

- |   |     |    |     |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| 14) Was your pain controlled with the treatment prescribed at the time of hospital discharge?     | Yes | No | N/A |
| 15) Do you think you would have had better pain control if you had stayed longer at the hospital? | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Resumption of feeding

- |   |     |    |     |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| 16) Are you satisfied with the time period between your surgery and the resumption of feeding?              | Yes | No | N/A |
| 17) Did you experience difficulty in eating once back at home?  | Yes | No | N/A |
| 18) If yes, do you think these difficulties would have been prevented by a more gradual resumption of diet? | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Autonomy

- |   |     |    |     |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| 19) When back at home, did you feel capable to take care of yourself alone?                   | Yes | No | N/A |
| 20) Are you satisfied with the time period between your surgery and the recovery of autonomy? | Yes | No | N/A |
| 21) Do you consider our global management allowed to speed up the recovery of your autonomy?  | Yes | No | N/A |

#### Satisfaction

- |   |     |    |     |
|---|-----|----|-----|
| 22) Were you satisfied about the length of your hospital stay | Yes | No | N/A |
| 23) How do you rate your satisfaction about our management?   |     |    |     |

**SNS 0–10**  
0 = not satisfied  
10 = totally satisfied

- |  |     |    |     |
|--|-----|----|-----|
| 24) If you had postoperative complication, do you think this resulted from a too rapid management of care? | Yes | No | N/A |
|--|-----|----|-----|
-